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## Section 1 - Introduction to the department and the self-assessment process

In Section 1, applicants should evidence how they meet Criterion 1:

+ an organisational structure is in place to carry the action plan forward and continue the self-assessment process.


### 1.1. Letter of endorsement from the head of department

Please insert (with appropriate letterhead) a signed letter of endorsement from the Head of Department.

If the Head of Department is soon to be succeeded, or has recently taken up the post, applicants should include an additional short statement (additional 200 words) from the incoming Head of Department demonstrating their personal commitment to supporting Athena SWAN activity in the department.

25 November 2020

## Dear Athena SWAN Manager,

I am pleased to support our Athena SWAN renewal. I joined the self-assessment team in September 2018 on becoming Head of Department and, because this area of work matters greatly to me personally, I decided to Chair the Equality, Diversity \& Inclusion Committee in October 2020. Our Departmental Strategy aims to create a working environment where all staff and students can achieve their full potential, and I am proud that the information presented in the application (including qualitative and quantitative data) is an honest, accurate and true representation of the department's efforts towards that aim over the last four years.

2020 has been an exhausting year and we carefully considered whether to press ahead with our application given the unprecedented demands on everyone at home and work. Because of the excellent effort the team had already put in, we decided to submit now and advance our energies towards achieving future actions.

I'd like to highlight some notable achievements since our 2016 application:

- We appointed our first female Statutory Professor from our existing faculty, Professor Dame Frances Kirwan, who has been key to embedding Athena SWAN values and championing career development provision for early career researchers.
- The ongoing success of our prestigious Hooke and Titchmarsh Postdoctoral Fellowships, which attract a high number of women and provide an exceptional springboard into an independent academic career.
- Increases in gender diversity across most student/staff groups, with female PGT numbers almost doubling.
- Exceptional outreach efforts to attract a high proportion of applications from female A* Further Mathematics pupils.
- Our popular networking, career and skills development series for postgraduate students/ECRs, Fridays@4, won a well-deserved Divisional Teaching Award in 2019.
- Restructuring the Professional Services Staff management team to create a Head of Faculty Services \& HR post (one of the first at Oxford) has enabled the implementation of key strategic people-related projects.

Looking ahead, our greatest priority will be innovation in our quest to diversify our faculty. In the last four years, we selected a female candidate for a permanent Associate Professor appointment once; regrettably, she declined our offer. Our aim for the next review period is to recruit at least two female Associate Professors. The issues raised by Black Lives Matter are not new although incredibly important - EDIC will have an increased emphasis on racial equality and its intersection with gender. We will create new postgraduate scholarships to specifically promote equality and diversity. We remain committed to addressing the gender gaps at student admissions and attainment. An emerging concern is to mitigate the effects of Covid19 on women's career development; evidence suggests that they are likely to be more severely impacted. Learning from the impact of this pandemic, we are ready to re-examine the way that we work, teach and communicate. We aim to streamline working practices to reduce workload and to making wellbeing a priority. The full consequences of leaving the EU are still unknown but we appreciate, more than ever, that our people are our greatest asset when we face exceptional circumstances.

Overall, we will strengthen our monitoring systems to improve our targeting and impact, challenging ourselves to reach the ambitious aims set out in our action plan.

Yours faithfully,

Professor Mike Giles
Professor of Scientific Computing
Head of the Mathematical Institute

## Acronyms

| AS | Athena SWAN |
| :--- | :--- |
| AHOD (CD) | Associate Head for Career Development |
| AHOD (AP) | Associate Head for Academic Planning |
| AHOD (R) | Associate Head for Research |
| AOC | Admissions and Outreach Coordinator |
| AP | Associate Professor |
| APO | Academic Policy Officer (previous post) |
| APR | Admissions Process Review |
| ASWG | Athena SWAN Working Group |
| CEM | Conference and Events Manager |
| DC | Department Committee |
| DFM | Department-Faculty Meeting |
| DGS(T) | Director of Graduate Studies (Teaching) |
| DGS(R) | Director of Graduate Studies (Research) |
| DL | Departmental Lecturer |
| DPhil | Doctor of Philosophy (equivalent to PhD) |
| DPPM | Departmental Projects and Policy Manager |
| DUS | Director of Undergraduate Studies |
| EAP | Employee Assistance Programme |
| ECR | Early Career Researcher |
| ECRC | Early Career Researchers' Committee |
| EDI/ED\&I | Equality, Diversity and Inclusion |
| EDIC | Equality, Diversity and Inclusion Committee |
| EDU | Equality and Diversity Unit |
| EPSRC | Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council |
| ERM | External Relations Manager |
| FRS | Fellow of the Royal Society |
| FTA | Faculty Teaching Advisor |
| GAWG | Gender Attainment Working Group |
| GCC | Graduate Consultative Committee |
| GPC | Good Practice Committee (now renamed Equality, Diversity \& Inclusion |
| GSA | Committee, EDIC) |
| GSC | Graduate Studies Assistant |
| H\&T | Graduate Studies Committee |
| HAA | Hooke and Titchmarsh Fellowships |
| HAF | Head of Academic Administration |
| HEAT | Head of Administration and Finance |
| HFSHR | Higher Education Access Tracker |
| HOs | Head of Faculty Services \& HR |
| HOD | Harassment Officers |
| JCCU | Head of Department |
| LMS | Joint Consultative Committee for Undergraduates |
| MAT | London Mathematical Society |
| MCF | Mathematical Admissions Test |
| MF | Mathematical and Computational Finance MSc |
| MFoCS | Mathematical Finance MSc |
| MI | Mathematics and the Foundations of Computer Science MSc |
| MMSC | Mathematical Institute |
|  | Mathematical Modelling and Scientific Computing MSc |


| MPLS | Mathematical, Physical and Life Sciences Division |
| :--- | :--- |
| MSc | Master of Science degree |
| MTP | Mathematics and Theoretical Physics MSc |
| NC | Nominations Committee |
| OEC | Outreach Events Officer |
| PA | Personal Assistant |
| PDRA | Postdoctoral Research Associate |
| PDR | Personal \& Professional Development Review |
| PGR | Postgraduate Research Student |
| PGT | Postgraduate Taught Student |
| PSS | Professional Support Staff |
| RC | Research Committee |
| REF | Research Excellence Framework |
| RF | Research Fellow |
| RSURF | Royal Society University Research Fellow |
| SAT | Self-Assessment Team |
| SLO | Schools Liaison Officer |
| TC | Teaching Committee |
| WCMB | Wolfson Centre of Mathematical Biology |
| WL | Whitehead Lecturer |
| WP | Widening Participation |

Notes

Word count: we do not include tables or graphs, as per guidance. With regard to other figures, pictorial presentations are not counted (e.g. Figure 4) but have been included where they include a summary of our work or progress (e.g. Figure 8).

All student data as at University records 1 Dec 2019. All staff data as at University records 16 June 2020

University staff data records legal sex; we ensure inclusivity when obtaining feedback from our community by providing appropriate data gathering options for staff who do not identify as male or female.

### 1.2. Description of the department

Please provide a brief description of the department including any relevant discipline or contextual information. Present the most recent data on the total number and proportion of academic staff, professional and support staff and students by gender.

The Mathematical Institute is one of 13 departments comprising the Mathematical, Physical and Life Sciences (MPLS) Division the University of Oxford.
We are one of the largest mathematical departments in the UK, a friendly community of 107 teaching faculty, 98 researchers and 64 professional support staff supporting 1,372 students (Table 1 and Figure 2). We are based in the Andrew Wiles Building, purpose-built in 2013, providing an excellent environment for mathematics in Oxford. The building reflects the beauty and power of mathematics in small architectural details (we're prouder than ever of the Penrose Paving, as seen outside in Figure 1!), and we proudly share the venue to benefit wider national and international mathematics communities and the public.


Figure 1: The Andrew Wiles Building

Our culture is inclusive and democratic, with a sense of mutual respect and support. Our mathematical research, impact and environment were ranked first in the 2014 Research Excellence Framework ${ }^{1}$.

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Difference <br> \%F in <br> \%F since |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F | M | Total | $\%$ F | $\% \mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| Undergraduate (Single degrees) | 170 | 412 | 582 | $29 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $+3 \%$ |
| Undergraduate (All degrees) | 260 | 658 | 918 | $28 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $+2 \%$ |
| Postgraduate taught students | 41 | 99 | 140 | $29 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $+15 \%$ |
| Postgraduate research students | 73 | 241 | 314 | $23 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $+4 \%$ |
| Research only staff | 22 | 76 | 98 | $22 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $+1 \%$ |
| Academic staff (Non- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professorial) | 8 | 31 | 39 | $21 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $+2 \%$ |
| Academic staff (Professorial) | 8 | 60 | 68 | $12 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $+2 \%$ |
| Professional Services Staff | 51 | 13 | 64 | $80 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $+6 \%$ |

Table 1: Student and staff headcount by gender


Figure 2: Student and staff numbers in the Mathematical Institute

[^0]

Figure 3: Gender across student and staff groups
While colleges are responsible for undergraduate admissions, the department coordinates admissions processes and selection criteria, including the Mathematical Admissions Test (MAT), and we lead significant outreach activity (Figure 12). We have seen a $2 \%$ increase in the proportion of female undergraduates since 2016 (Table 1). Undergraduate lectures are supplemented by small group teaching in colleges during the first and second years, before the department co-ordinates teaching of specialised options in the third and fourth years.

The department is responsible for the academic selection and support of postgraduate students on five one-year taught MSc courses and the DPhil in Mathematics (see Figure 4). We have seen a $15 \%$ increase in the proportion of female PGT students (29\%F, 71\%M), and $4 \%$ increase for female PGR students (23\%F, 77\%M) (Table 1). Each postgraduate student belongs to a college, where they access student services and support.
As demonstrated in Figure 3, the gender gap grows slightly throughout the academic career, with a noticeable drop in females moving onto PGR study, and significant gap occurring in the move into professorial positions. For PSS the gender ratio is $2 \mathrm{~F}: 1 \mathrm{M}$ for staff in senior grades (Academic-Related, Grades 6-10), while $96 \%$ of the more junior roles are undertaken by women (Support Staff, Grades 3-5).


Figure 4 - Progression routes for Undergraduate and Postgraduate students
Our staffing structure is described in Figure 5. Most research posts are externally-funded, fixed-term Postdoctoral Research Associates (PDRAs) at Grade 7. In 2016, we created threeyear Hooke \& Titchmarsh Fellowships (H\&T) at Grade 7 to support outstanding researchers beginning their independent careers in academia, and they have proven to be an important step for women advancing in their academic careers, with many former fellows moving on to permanent (or US tenure-track) academic appointments.


Figure 5 - Mathematical Institute's academic staffing structure

The Institute is led by the Head of Department (HOD), who is supported by three Associate Heads of Department (AHODs), and by a democratic governance structure through Department Committee and its sub-committees (Figure 6). Department Committee advises the HOD on all matters of policy concerning the department, including the allocation of resources and strategic planning. It reports through the HOD to the MPLS Divisional Board.
Nominations Committee co-ordinates and makes recommendations to committees for staff membership, advised by the Equality, Diversity and Inclusion Committee (EDIC) which monitors the diversity of committees.


Figure 6: Mathematical Institute's governance structure

The department supports various societies and networks for female and non-binary students and staff, including financially; the Mirzakhani Society for students, the Mathematrix discussion group for postgraduates, ECRs and staff, Her Dark Mathematicals for all (Figure 7).


Figure 7 - posters and webpages from our societies and networks
(554 words - Recommended word count: 500 words)

### 1.3. The self-assessment process

Describe the self-assessment process. This should include:

1. a description of the self-assessment team
2. an account of the self-assessment process
3. plans for the future of the self-assessment team

## The self-assessment team

The department has a well-established Equality, Diversity and Inclusion Committee (EDIC) renamed from Good Practice Committee in 2019 to align with other University departments. EDIC has oversight of the department's working environment for staff and students and reports directly to Department Committee. The Early Career Researchers Committee reports to EDIC, and an ECR representative serves on EDIC, thereby ensuring that equality issues relating to this group are well communicated. To make progress on areas of shared interest, EDIC works with other committees; in 2020-21, for example, it will establish a joint working group with Admissions Committee. The Working Group will review undergraduate admissions processes in light of the recommendations of the university's 2019-20 Admissions Process Review relating to gender, and report to both committees.
As with all committees, EDIC membership is reviewed annually to ensure it remains representative of staff and students, and ideas are refreshed. Daisy Hung, MPLS Equality, Diversity \& Inclusion Manager, attends and Professor Lambiotte, our Deputy Chair, is also a member of the MPLS E\&D Steering Committee to ensure co-ordination with EDI work across MPLS and the University.
In 2019, EDIC convened the Athena SWAN Working Group (ASWG) to delegate responsibility for analysing data, running staff and student consultations, and drafting the renewal application. ASWG comprises five academics (2F/3M) and two PSS (2F) staff; four are also members of EDIC.

Together EDIC and ASWG members constitute the self-assessment team (SAT) (Table 2); comprising 10F/11M, our SAT is roughly gender balanced. Four members of the 2020 SAT were members of the 2016 SAT, ensuring continuity, whilst new members brought fresh perspectives.
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline & \text { Name } & \text { Gender } & \text { Category } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Role in } \\ \text { department }\end{array} & \text { Role on SAT } \\ \hline & \text { Helen Byrne } & \text { Female } & \text { Academic } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Professor of } \\ \text { Applied } \\ \text { Mathematics, } \\ \text { previously } \\ \text { Associate }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { ASWG Lead, } \\ \text { Former EDIC } \\ \text { Chair, member } \\ \text { of EDIC }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Head of } \\ \text { Department }\end{array}\right]$

|  | Coralia Cartis | Female | Academic | Associate <br> Professor in Numerical Optimization; ECR Advisor (South Wing) | ASWG member |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Annie Drakes | Female | PSS | Receptionist | Member of EDIC |
|  | Richard Earl | Male | Academic | Director of Undergraduate Studies; Chair of Joint Consultative Committee for Undergraduate s | Member of EDIC |
|  | Jocasta Gardner | Female | PSS | Head of Administration \& Finance | Member of EDIC |
|  | Mike Giles | Male | Academic | Professor of Scientific Computing, Head of Department | Chair of EDIC |
|  | Ali Goodall | Female | PSS | Head of HR and Faculty Services. | Member of ASWG \& EDIC |
|  | Christopher Hollings | Male | Academic | Departmental Lecturer in Mathematics and its History | Member of EDIC |

$\left.\begin{array}{l|l|l|l|l|} & \text { Academic } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Professor of } \\ \text { Applied } \\ \text { Mathematics, }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { ASWG } \\ \text { member } \\ \text { Director of } \\ \text { Graduate }\end{array} & \\ \text { Studies }\end{array}\right]$
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## Athena SWAN Departmental Renewal Application

## The self-assessment process

EDIC reviews progress against the whole Athena SWAN (AS) action plan annually. Throughout the year, EDIC considers papers on the individual projects delivering AS actions, and discusses emerging equality and diversity priorities at departmental, institutional and national level. Papers are circulated in advance, incorporating data to illustrate progress against actions, alongside recommendations for next steps. Committee consideration may lead to identifying of additional actions, developing, refining or agreeing to complete an action.


Figure 8-Consultation and feedback in the Mathematical Institute, 2016-2020
We consulted widely (see Figure 8) and undertook quantitative and qualitative assessment of data to evaluate progress and impact in the short and long-term. For example, the review of bystander training (previous action 28.4) coupled assessment of training participation rates with an evaluation of qualitative testimonials from a post-training questionnaire. We used focus groups to explore staff concerns about the workload model (see Section 2.2 for details). We carefully analysed biennial student survey data from 2016 and 2018. The 2020 survey was postponed due to the pandemic, but will be conducted in 2021.

Undergraduate and postgraduate admissions and examination results are analysed annually and considered by relevant committees, and EDIC reviews their reports. Annual student survey data from third-year undergraduates evaluating their experience informed progress 2016 to 2019, and we will run this again in 2021.

The University's Equality and Diversity Unit carries out a biennial Staff Experience Survey for all employees. 2016 and 2018 results provided key data on employees' perceptions of their environment, conditions and opportunities. We have been able to consider specific questions relating to our objectives and actions and, by monitoring and comparing responses across intervening years, assess and understand the impact and success of measures we have taken. Again, due to the pandemic, the University's 2020 survey has been postponed until
2021. We recognise these gaps in our data, and the SAT will carefully consider the 2021 results once available and adapt the action plan to reflect any themes that emerge.

The termly Department-Faculty Meeting and the weekly PSS Senior Officer Management Team meetings are the fora for discussion and feedback for faculty and PSS matters.
Where necessary to progress action points, EDIC refers items to relevant committees for consideration e.g. Graduate Studies Committee, Teaching Committee and Research Committee, and/or upwards to Department Committee (Figure 6). Gender equality aims are sufficiently embedded across all department committees that their consideration permeates decision-making at all levels.

SAT members attend termly divisional AS meetings, and regular MPLS HR meetings, both of which provide opportunities for sharing good practice with other departments. Members have good networks in the Division and wider University and consult colleagues on a variety of issues, and attend University briefings regularly, and report back to on initiatives elsewhere. Recently, SAT members have attended LMS Good Practice workshops, participated in an EPSRC ED\&I Focus Group, attended webinar training sessions, but unfortunately we weren't able to attend the AS Charter Member Networking Event planned for March 2020 due to the lockdown.

During the academic year leading up to the renewal submission, EDIC met twice termly and ASWG met fortnightly to review data and draft the AS renewal document. Application drafts were reviewed by Department Committee and consultation with faculty took place via Department-Faculty Meeting. Feedback on the renewal application was sought from the University's Equality and Diversity Unit and Catherine Goodwin (currently Planning and Equality Manager for Social Sciences Division, and our previous AS Project Manager). Externally, Professor Caroline Austin (Newcastle University) peer reviewed the application.

## Plans for the future

The department's vision, articulated in its strategic plan in May 2020, is to create a working environment in which students and staff can achieve their full potential; meeting the goals set out in the Section 3.2 is key to realising that vision.
The ASWG will dissolve upon submission of the renewal application, EDIC will continue to meet at least termly. As the SAT, EDIC will have primary responsibility for taking AS actions forward within the department, involving other departmental committees as appropriate.

EDIC is a large group; new for 2020, to ensure momentum is maintained, we propose two champions to lead on each group of actions (Table 3) and will seek volunteers to support work on our future actions. We will institute an EDIC committee business forecast to map work for the year ahead and an annual cycle of committee updates, to ensure all aspects of the action plan are discussed at least once a year.

|  | Academic Champion | PSS Champion |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Students | DUS / DGSs (T\&R) | Head of Academic Admin |
| Staff | Associate Head for Career <br> Development | Head of Faculty Services <br> \& HR |
| Organisation \& Culture | Head of Department |  <br> Finance |

Table 3: Academic and PSS action plan Champions

The Champions will present regular updates on progress against key Action Plan milestones to EDIC and identify any issues. This will enable the SAT to reflect on progress and re-plan as necessary.

We have enhanced our workload model for $2020 / 21$ and the model will include the approximate commitment, in hours, of being a SAT member or AS lead (the latter carrying a heavier burden). Learning from our experience over the last year, this will recognise the considerable time commitment required to deliver the AS action plan to better inform workload planning (future action 13.1).

Future action 14.5 challenges the SAT to better communicate our AS initiatives (for example, via Department-Faculty meetings, and effective use of the department's weekly bulletin), so that colleagues understand the benefits of achieving our AS priorities, while simultaneously embedding the AS ethos even more firmly within departmental culture.
A key part of any future self-assessment process will be to reflect on the impact of the Covid19 pandemic and its aftermath, including learning from the outcomes of the department's internal survey first run in July 2020, with termly follow-ups planned (future action 11.2); we discuss the impact of the pandemic further in sections 2.2 and 3.1.
(1334 words - Recommended word count: 1500 words)

## Section 2 - Evaluation of progress against the previous action plan

In section 2, applicants should evidence how they meet Criteria 2 and 3:

+ progress has been made on the previous action plan
+ learning has been demonstrated from the evaluation of progress.


### 2.1. Previous Action plan

Please provide the most recent iteration of the action plan associated with the department's previous award. The actions should be 'RAG' rated (rated 'red', 'amber' or 'green') dependent on progress.

Ensure that colour is not the only method of indicating rating, such as through the use of letters or icons. For example: $\mathbf{B}$ A

| Objective (bold=highest priority in 2016 submission) | SAT RAG <br> Assessment | Plans identified (originally 2016-2020) | Success measure | Timescale | Responsibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Encourage more students in the UK, particularly girls, to take Maths and Further Maths Alevels. | AMBER | 1.1 We are collaborating with other interested parties to develop online modules that students can use independently or that teachers can use with students, aimed at students in year 10/11 to encourage them to study Further Maths A-level. We will engage with schools to promote the new online modules. | Online material implemented, and being used by students/schools. <br> Evidence of impact on A-level choices by those students/in those schools: e.g. testimonials from students or teachers that the online material encouraged particular students to take Further Maths. | 2017-18 | AOC / WL |
|  |  | 1.2 Continue running outreach events and summer schools for girls and explore possibilities of getting more funding for such summer schools. | Continued/increased attendance of women at outreach events and summer schools. | Summer 2017 onwards | AOC / WL |
|  |  | 1.3 Lobby at a national level with the aim of ensuring that government education policy supports uptake of Maths/Further Maths A-level by women: HOD to lobby government directly; Chair of GPC to lobby via Royal Society Education Committee. | Contacts with government and others. <br> There may be some changes in government policy | 2016-17 | HOD / Chair EDIC |
| 2. Ensure that admissions processes are as fair as possible. | AMBER | 2.1 Admissions Committee to continue to research the individual elements of the admissions process to identify any potential areas of bias. |  | Ongoing | AOC |
|  |  | 2.2 NEW Admissions Process Review (APR) complete, with Working Group formed to take forward recommendations | Admissions Manual, website updates, training requirement updated and implementation of further recommendations form Working Group | 2020-2021 |  |

Section 2.1 - Previous Action Plan

| Objective (bold=highest priority in 2016 submission) | SAT RAG Assessment | Plans identified (originally 2016-2020) | Success measure | Timescale | Responsibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3. More of our female students to progress to fourth year of undergraduate degree/to graduate research. | GREEN | 3.1 Encourage the Mirzakhani Society to continue to run their event annually, and support it. | Feedback in surveys/focus groups indicating positive effect of events/information on student knowledge/choices. | 2017-18 | Chair EDIC |
|  |  | 3.2 Put information on our website about graduate research (including | Continued/further increased proportions of women continuing to fourth year. | 2017-18 and beyond | HAA |
|  |  | clear and accessible information about funding) | Increased proportions of women continuing into graduate study. | 2017-18 and beyond | HAA |
|  |  | 3.3 In addition to the annual graduate open day, hold an annual event specifically designed to allow undergraduates to meet with graduates to learn more about what life as a research student involves. Ensure that there are female and male graduates participating in the event. | Increased numbers of students having experience of research via a summer project (more than the 50 in 2016). | Summer 2018 | HOD / WL |
|  |  | 3.4 Continue to fund and coordinate summer research projects and introduce week-long summer Collaborative Undergraduate Research Experiences'. | Introduction of new Research Experiences and continuation of summer projects | 2017 onwards | HAA |
|  |  | 3.4a replanned: continue to fund summer research projects as internships | Continuation of summer projects | 2018 onwards | HAA |
|  |  | 3.5 NEW TT 2019 - UG mentoring scheme launched with funding acquired from the University's Diversity fund for the launch. The scheme aims to help female UGs develop self-confidence to go on to 4th year study. 14 UGs signed up with 19PGs acting as mentors. Very good preliminary feedback overall | New Student survey question 2020/1: At least $80 \%$ of female students who have accessed the scheme are satisfied with support to progress to 4th year. <br> Increased female students admitted to 4th year. | Easter 2019 | DUS / AA |


| Objective <br> (bold=highest <br> priority in 2016 <br> submission) | SAT RAG <br> Assessment |  | Res |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Section 2.1 - Previous Action Plan

| Objective (bold=highest priority in 2016 submission) | SAT RAG Assessment | Plans identified (originally 2016-2020) | Success measure | Timescale | Responsibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6. Reduce gender gap in performance on PGT courses. | AMBER | 6.1 Analyse performance by gender on the MScs - for example across different types of assessment (e.g. written examinations, dissertations). | Report to GSC and make recommendations for course structure changes based on findings. | 2017 | PM |
|  |  | 6.2 Produce guidance for MSc tutors on the basis of educational research findings and feedback from student focus groups (as has been produced for undergraduate tutors). | Guidance to be sent to tutors | 2017 |  |
|  |  |  | Tutors to demonstrate awareness of advice in surveys. | 2018 | PM |
|  |  | 6.3 Design teaching methods for new MSc in Mathematical Sciences informed by findings of educational research and feedback from female students. | New class structure to be implemented for new MSc. | 2019-20 |  |
|  |  |  | Deliver classes for current parallel courses in line with the new plans, and review. | 2017-18/ 2018-19 | DUS / OMMS Supervisory Cmttee |
| 7. Encourage female applications for graduate research study. | GREEN | 7.1 Develop a 'virtual open day' to explain about life as a graduate research student at Oxford. | Evidence from applicant feedback that the Virtual Open Day has contributed to the decision to apply here. | 2018-19 | DGS / GSA |
|  |  | 7.2 Explore possibilities for obtaining funding for graduate scholarships specifically for female students. | Xn Female students funded from such a source. |  |  |
|  |  |  | Increased number of graduate research applications from women. | 2017-18 | DGS / GSA |
| 8. Increase the likelihood of women accepting offers for graduate research study | GREEN | 8.1 Analyse the results of the [PGR withdrawers] surveys in more detail as 2016 survey data becomes available, and consider what further changes might be made to retain offer holders. | Analyse further results and consider changes. | 2017 |  |
|  |  |  | A reduced 'drop-out' rate amongst applicants - especially women. | 2018-19 | GSC |
|  |  | 8.2 Continue to develop this, providing consistency of experience to interviewees across all research groups, and seek feedback from them on this. | All interviewees to have this experience. <br> Positive feedback from interviewees on their interview visit. | 2017-18 | GSA, Research Groups |

Section 2.1 - Previous Action Plan

| Objective (bold=highest priority in 2016 submission) | SAT RAG Assessment | Plans identified (originally 2016-2020) | Success measure | Timescale | Responsibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9. Ensure graduate research admissions processes are as effective, fair and transparent as possible | GREEN | 9.1 Review and revise guidance given to those selecting students for admission, to make it as clear as possible, so that they are best supported through the process. | Revise guidance <br> Feedback from faculty to indicate that this has supported good decision-making | 2016-17 | GSA |
| 10. Support a vibrant community of female mathematics students | GREEN | 10.1 Continue to support [Mirzakhani] society with funds, provision of rooms, and faculty members contributing to events. | Society ongoing; good/increased attendance at events | 2017-18 | DUS / AA |
| 11. Support wellbeing of graduate students | AMBER | 11.1 Continue to run 'buddy' scheme. | Continued buddy scheme. | Ongoing | GSA managing buddy scheme for PG students. |
|  |  | 11.2 Support allocation of mentors by involving Research Groups, and by providing more guidance for potential mentors and mentees. | Each Research Group to have a faculty member responsible for support for graduate students within the group, and a graduate student with responsibility for assisting them. | 2017-18 | AHOD (Career Development) GSC, GPC |
|  |  |  | Higher uptake of mentoring | 2017-18 |  |
|  |  |  | Positive feedback on mentoring from participants Guidance to be drafted for mentors/mentees | 2017-18 |  |
|  |  | 11.3 Explore ways to encourage 'good citizenship' amongst graduate students - for example some could specifically take responsibility for encouraging uptake of mentoring amongst their peers. | Graduate students participate in the informal 'mentor' scheme to 3rd year students Participation of graduate students at Dphil open days increases |  | AHOD (Career Development) |

Section 2.1 - Previous Action Plan
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| Objective (bold=highest priority in 2016 submission) | SAT RAG Assessment | Plans identified (originally 2016-2020) | Success measure | Timescale | Responsibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academic and Research Staff |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Provide more opportunities for career progression within the early research career | GREEN | 13.1 Continue to offer the Hooke and Titchmarsh fellowships, and pursue other opportunities to offer fellowships via philanthropy(including one for female mathematicians), in partnership with colleges, and via fee income from a new taught programme. | To have at least six Hooke/ Titchmarsh Fellows in steady state. | Ongoing | HOD |
|  |  | 13.2 Advertise all such fellowships as being potentially available on a parttime or job-share basis. | To offer more fellowships from philanthropic funding, including one specifically for women. | 2017-18 | HOD |
|  |  |  | To fund four-five additional career development fellowships on an ongoing basis from fee income from a new MSc | 2019-20 | HOD |
|  |  | 13.3 Email European Women in Mathematics mailing list asking members to encourage junior female colleagues to apply (EWM mailing list tends to have relatively senior members who would not be applying themselves). | All to be advertised as potentially part-time/job-share. | Ongoing | HOD |
|  |  |  | A higher proportion of female applicants than currently for research posts in general (17\%) | 2017-18+ | HOD |

Section 2.1 - Previous Action Plan

| Objective (bold=highest priority in 2016 submission) | SAT RAG Assessment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Plans identified } \\ & \text { (originally 2016-2020) } \end{aligned}$ | Success measure | Timescale | Responsibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14. Embed Career Development Review for early career researchers | GREEN | 14.1 New Early Career Researchers Committee to seek feedback on Career Development Review scheme and refine/develop as necessary. | At least as high or higher uptake of Career Development Review, and positive feedback on it. | 2016-17 onwards | ECRC |
|  |  | NEW 14.2 Create additional ECR Advisor role to meet demand for meetings | Second individual in post Increase towards annual CDRs taking place in line with Concordat | 2020 | HOD |
| 15. Support careers and skills development for research students and early career researchers | GREEN | 15.1 Continue to run and develop the seminar series. Include: <br> - session on unconscious bias; <br> - session on supporting student wellbeing; <br> - one session per term to be led by new ECR Committee | Continued events, positive feedback. |  |  |
|  |  |  | Evidence in feedback from participants of impact on careers. |  |  |
|  |  | 15.2 Continue the scheme of mock job applications/interviews and expand and refine in future years. Encourage postdocs to sit on panels. Continue to fund Good Practice Facilitator to support the scheme. | Higher numbers annually experiencing mock interviews than in 2016 (i.e. average of 3-4 candidates interviewing for each of more than five 'jobs'). Positive feedback received on the scheme. | Summer 2017 onwards | AHOD (Career Development) |
| 16. Obtain better data on research staff leavers to understand whether there is anything further we should be doing to support retention. |  | 16.1 The Advisor for Early Career Researchers will routinely explore in more detail with research staff their reasons for leaving. More detailed information about destinations and reasons for leaving will be recorded. | Better data on reasons for leaving and destinations | 2016-17 onwards |  |
|  | RED |  | Make recommendations for changes to departmental policy and practice based on data collected. | 2017-18 | AECR / PA |

Section 2.1 - Previous Action Plan

| Objective (bold=highest priority in 2016 submission) | SAT RAG Assessment | Plans identified (originally 2016-2020) | Success measure | Timescale | Responsibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17. To attract more women to apply for Statutory Professorships | AMBER | 17.1 Search committees to operate Identify internal candidates for all SP recruitments | Search panels trained and operating successfully to proactively approach international candidates from diverse backgrounds. | 2018/19 | HOD |
|  |  | 17.2 HOD to report regularly to EDIC on operation of search committees | Regular reporting on success of 17.1 | 2019 onwards |  |
|  |  | 17.3 NEW Broadened to include Associate Professorships and academic posts, introduction of search panels for AP posts and support from HR to approach candidates. | Increase number of female applicants to AP and SP posts Increase \%F faculty | 2018 onwards |  |
| 18. To provide a good induction | RED | 18.1 All material within induction programme to be made accessible on website, for future reference. | Material to be online Staff survey satisfaction with induction increases | Oct-20 | PA |
| 19. To support academic staff career progression | RED | 19.1 Mechanisms likely to include more formalised role for Research Groups - for example in having responsibility for encouraging/supporting staff at an appropriate career stage to apply for Recognition of Distinction, and professorial merit awards; and in providing mentors for junior staff. <br> 19.2 Good practice in some Research Groups to be more widely shared. | Academic staff having access to more mechanisms to support of career progression; more staff experiencing mentoring/other support as evidenced in feedback. | 2017-18 | HOD, AHOD (Career Development) |

Section 2.1 - Previous Action Plan

| Objective (bold=highest priority in 2016 submission) | SAT RAG Assessment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Plans identified } \\ & \text { (originally 2016-2020) } \end{aligned}$ | Success measure | Timescale | Responsibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20. To provide more opportunities for academic staff Career Development Review, and increase uptake | 20.1 Develop different ways of managing the system of career development review for academic staff - for example by having the option of Associate Heads of Department carrying out five-year review meetings for Associate Professors, and Heads of Research Groups or other senior staff could conduct non-compulsory (annual) review meetings. |  | Higher uptake of non-compulsory (annual) career development review meetings. | 2017-18 | HOD, AHOD (Career Development) |
|  |  |  | Positive feedback from academic staff on the changes to career development review. | 2017-18 |  |
|  |  | 20.2 NEW Theme from 2018 staff surveys raised for discussion at Faculty Away Day. Followed up with focus groups to ascertain what faculty want from discussions, and plan for revised CDDs developed | Approval of CDD scheme at Dept Committee | 2019-20 |  |
|  |  | 20.3 NEW Launch CDD scheme | Embed CDD with good uptake rates | 2020 onwards |  |
| 21. To relieve pressures on those with family/caring responsibilities and change perceptions/ culture about part-time working for academic staff | GREEN | 21.1 This policy will be publicised widely. | Current academic staff may become part-time | 2016-17 onwards | HOD |
|  |  |  | Feedback in staff surveys that staff see part-time working for academic and research staff as supported/the 'norm'. |  |  |
|  |  | 21.2 Develop a robust mechanism for negotiating with colleges over the duties owed to the college - when appropriate arranging to cover the college teaching need as would be done for holders of external research fellowships. | Reach formal agreement with colleges about a standard mechanism for filling college duties. | 2018 |  |
|  |  | 21.3 NEW fund for carers' expenses for conference attendance | Good take up of the fund, good gender balance, good feedback on impact |  |  |
|  |  | 21.4 NEW updates shared re family friendly schemes, My Family Care | Good access of the scheme, good feedback on impact | 2018 |  |

Section 2.1 - Previous Action Plan

| Objective (bold=highest priority in 2016 submission) | SAT RAG Assessment | Plans identified (originally 2016-2020) | Success measure | Timescale | Responsibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Professional and Support Staff |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Incorporate guidance | 2016-17 |  |
| 22. To improve understanding of processes for regrading posts | GREEN | staff and managers into new documentation for annual Personal Development Review discussions. (see 24 below). | Feedback in staff consultations that processes for regrading posts are seen as being transparent and fair. | 2017-18 | HAF |
| 23. To better support professional and support staff career progression/development | GREEN | 23.1 Take steps to actively ensure that a positive message is conveyed about training and development: circulate information about training courses in new departmental bulletin, alongside encouragement to attend, and highlight training opportunities at staff meetings. | Incorporate information in weekly departmental bulletin and at staff meetings. <br> Feedback in staff consultations that training is seen as being encouraged. | 2016-17 | HAF |
|  |  |  | Increase in staff taking training. | 2017-18 |  |
|  |  | 23.2 Consider mechanisms to support | Staff to have access to new opportunities. | 2017-18 |  |
|  |  | as offering 'job shadowing' or more opportunities for undertaking different projects/secondments. | Staff taking up new opportunities. |  | HAF |
|  |  | 23.3 NEW Line management training for first line managers | $100 \%$ attendance, plus yearly session to be held for new joiners | 2019 onwards |  |
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| Objective (bold=highest priority in 2016 submission) | SAT RAG Assessment | Plans identified (originally 2016-2020) | Success measure | Timescale | Responsibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24. To improve Personal development review (PDR) for professional and support staff | GREEN | 24.1 Review the PDR scheme and devise new documentation for it, providing more guidance to staff and managers. | New guidance to be issued | Summer 2017 | HAF |
|  |  |  | \% rise in staff having a PDR |  |  |
|  |  |  | A \% rise in staff satisfaction with usefulness of PDR |  |  |
|  |  | 24.2 NEW PDR scheme refreshed and relaunched, with mandatory training for reviewers and reviewees | \% Rise in uptake of training and development opportunities, and staff feeling able to discuss their training and development with managers. \% Increase in manager's confidence in discussing learning and development opportunities | Summer 2020 |  |

Section 2.1 - Previous Action Plan

| Objective (bold=highest priority in 2016 submission) | SAT RAG Assessment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Plans identified } \\ & \text { (originally 2016-2020) } \end{aligned}$ | Success measure | Timescale | Responsibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Organisation \& Culture |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25. Establish better mechanisms for monitoring, evaluating and managing academic staff workload | AMBER | 25.1 The Nominations Committee will: use the new consolidated dataset to develop a more comprehensive workload planning model, considering both quantitative and qualitative approaches in use elsewhere; <br> advise the Head of Department on allocation of duties accordingly; continue to refine and develop the dataset and the workload planning model. <br> The aims will be to ensure that load is equitable, to better formally recognise the full range of burdens on individuals, and to better support them in managing their career. | Useable, consolidated data set to be refined and used to support allocation of duties. | 2018 / 2020 staff surveys | AHOD (Planning and Resources) and NC |
|  |  | 25.2 NEW Following Staff survey 2018, faculty responses showed decreased satisfaction in workload. Away day consultation on further requirements has lead to a new project, including focus groups, to refine requirements for workload model. | Workload allocation model and dataset to be refined | 2019 |  |
|  |  | 25.3 NEW launch workload model, for 12 month pilot | Increase in staff satisfaction survey the fairness/transparency of workload allocation process and increased satisfaction with support for their career development | Jan-21 |  |

Section 2.1 - Previous Action Plan

| Objective (bold=highest priority in 2016 submission) | SAT RAG Assessment | Plans identified (originally 2016-2020) | Success measure | Timescale | Responsibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26. To reduce academic staff workload overall | AMBER | 26.1 Identify and implement changes which will reduce/streamline the overall workload on the academic staff - for example changes to delivery and assessment of programmes. | Quantifiable reduction in overall workload on academic staff. | 2017-18 and beyond | HOD and AHOD (Planning and Resources) |
| 27. To encourage female staff to engage in mutually supportive activities | GREEN | 27.1 Continue to support/run and seek feedback on these events [Mathematrix lunches, twice-termly lunch for senior female faculty members, welcome lunches for all new female postdocs and graduate students]. | Sustained/increased attendance at these events. | 2016-17 and beyond | GPC / AHOD (Career Development) |
| 28. To tackle bullying/ harassment | GREEN | 28.1 Harassment Officers to regularly inform department members about University guidance and initiatives on this. | New initiatives, such as HOD to lead activities in anti-bullying week | Nov-17 | GPC / HOD |
|  |  | 28.2 Connect with other departments within the University to explore approaches taken there to inform practices within our department. | Significantly reduced numbers reporting having experienced bullying/harassment. | 2018 survey |  |
|  |  | 28.3 HOD to urge all staff to raise any concerns with HOs or with HOD personally; HOD to lead activities in anti-bullying week | Regular communications from HOD | Annually |  |
|  |  | 28.4 NEW Responsible bystander training sessions delivered in-house | Good uptake of training, good feedback from participants, impact on staff survey results | 2019 |  |
| 29. To ensure that gender equality is built into the organisation of seminars and similar events | AMBER | 29.1 Continue to circulate data on gender of speakers, in particular to draw attention to areas where the gender split is not representative of the population, and to encourage seminar organisers to actively seek out speakers from underrepresented groups. | Proportions of seminar speakers to be representative of the wider mathematical community. | 2017 onwards | RC |

Section 2.1 - Previous Action Plan

| Objective (bold=highest priority in 2016 submission) | SAT RAG Assessment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Plans identified } \\ & \text { (originally 2016-2020) } \end{aligned}$ | Success measure | Timescale | Responsibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30. To improve communications within the department | GREEN | 30.1 Start a weekly departmental 'bulletin' to summarise important news, and include information on good practice initiatives and training opportunities for staff. | First bulletin to be circulated and archived on website | Jan-17 | ERM / Vicky Neale (i.e. not in her role as WL) |
| 31. To raise awareness of the potential impact of unconscious bias. | GREEN | 31.1 Organise session on unconscious bias as part of the Friday skills training/career development seminar series. <br> 31.2 Arrange for one of the University's new Facilitators for race awareness and unconscious bias awareness to run a session specifically for professional and support staff. <br> 31.3 Explore whether further sessions are needed. | All staff to be aware of the potential impact of unconscious bias. <br> Training session arranged and delivered <br> Potential impact on selection/appointment and other statistics. | 2017-18 | Fridays @ 4 seminar organisers / PM |
|  |  | 31.4 NEW embed training into induction for all new joiners | Encourage all new staff to undertake the training | 2019 | HR |
| 32. To ensure that all department members are | GREEN | 32.1 Information will be included regularly in the new weekly bulletin. | Information in bulletin | Jan-17 | PM/ Personnel to send info to WL to include in bulletin |
| well-informed on HR policies, support for parents and carers. |  |  | Better awareness demonstrated in staff survey. | 2018 |  |
| 33. To ensure that managers both academic and professional/ support staff - are wellsupported in this role | GREEN | 33.1 Run some courses in-house, led by external providers: courses physically located within the department and tailored to the needs of the department to encourage takeup. | Courses running: staff attending and giving positive feedback. | 2017 | PM / HAF |
|  |  | 33.2 NEW Line management training for first line managers | $100 \%$ attendance, plus yearly session to be held for new joiners | 2019 onwards |  |
| 34. To ensure that all |  | 34.1 Continue to run surveys at least every 2-3 years, potentially combining with the University's new 'staff experience' survey, and exploring other ways to involve staff. | Run surveys/consultations | 2018, 2020, etc. |  |

Section 2.1 - Previous Action Plan

| Objective (bold=highest priority in 2016 submission) | SAT RAG Assessment | Plans identified (originally 2016-2020) | Success measure | Timescale | Responsibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| members of the department have input into the running of the department | GREEN | 34.2 Continue to analyse and act on feedback, and report back to staff about action taken in response to feedback. | Seek to combine with University staff experience survey next time it is run. | 2018 | ASWG / PM |
|  |  |  | Evidence of further changes which have been implemented as a result of feedback. | 2018-19 |  |
|  |  |  | Reports back to staff. | 2018-19 |  |
| 35. PSS restructure, new Head of Faculty Services \& Head of HR post | GREEN | 35.1 NEW Head of Faculty Services and HR post created, to take the lead of people related strategy and streamlining HR processes | Successful appointment in post Key people projects are progressed and implemented (PDR, Workload Project) | 2019 | HOD |
| 36. Implementation of Employee Assistance Programme | GREEN | 36.1 NEW Implementation of Employee Assistance Programme to offer timely and bespoke support to employees, in addition to central Uni services | Good access rates, good feedback from users, impacts on staff satisfaction | 2020 | Head of FS\&HR |
| 37. Response to Covid-19 Pandemic | GREEN | 36.1 NEW staff survey to learn lessons, understand impact on minority groups, and inform planning for coming year | Good uptake of survey, commitment to taking themes identified forward to find solutions / mitigating actions | 2020-2021 | HOD / HAF |

Section 2.1 - Previous Action Plan

| Objective <br> (bold=highest <br> priority in 2016 <br> submission) | SAT RAG <br> Assessment | Plans identified <br> (originally 2016-2020) | Success measure | Timescale | Responsibility |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Key to Colour Coding:

| RAG DEFINITION Red - 1) action wasn't undertaken, 2) action was started but permanently discontinued, 3) Further work needed to begin or revise the approach | RAG DEFINITION Green - 1) action completed with outcomes or impacts (or v close to) predicted/desired, 2) no further work required on this action | RAG DEFINITION Amber - 1) action begun but is incomplete, 2) action completed but outcomes or impacts not as predicted/desired, 3) further work needed to complete or obtain desired outcome/impact | New Actions added in response to strategic changes / learning points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

### 2.2. Progress Report

Consider the panel feedback on the department's previous application. How has the department responded to and acted on the panel feedback provided on the previous application?

Consider the department's previous action plan.

1. what methods were used to evaluate the department's progress on actions?
2. what were the department's main barriers and facilitators with regard to action implementation and the meeting of success measures? What steps were taken to further inform and adjust actions?
3. have new initiatives or actions been introduced to improve outcomes or impacts?
4. what are the main learnings and outcomes from the evaluation of the action plan? How will the department apply this learning to the future action plan?

Our previous action plan has 37 objectives and 82 associated actions (see section 2.1).
RAG rating of objectives give 24 green, 9 amber and 4 red (Figure 9); overall $80 \%$ of our actions were achieved. Objectives $35,36 \& 37$ are new, and 20 actions were added/adjusted during the review period, indicated as blue boxes in section 2.1 (for example previous actions 4.3, 14.2 \& 21.3).



Figure 9: RAG rating of previous objectives and actions

Our approach to assessing our progress was as follows:

- ASWG undertook detailed data analysis, identifying trends and assessing impact with action plan leads. Data sources included;

| HRIS/Tableau - staff/student data | Advance-HE statistical reports |
| :--- | :--- |
| HESA / HEIDI data | Staff and student surveys |
| UCAS applicant data releases | Benchmarking data from other UK |
| AS applications from other HEIs | Mathematics departments |

- ASWG consulted to ensure that the views and experiences of departmental staff and students fed into the assessment, and particularly the setting of future priorities;

| Departmental survey, July 2020 | Committee engagement |
| :--- | :--- |
| Focus groups | Consultation with PSS Teams / |
| University \& departmental strategy | Academic leads on specific topics |

- ASWG presented recommendations on RAG ratings to SAT for discussion during EDIC committee meetings.
- SAT reflected on progress and learning, focussing on mismatches between RAG ratings of actions and objectives to identify which actions worked well, which didn't, and why.
- SAT identified new actions and revisions to existing ones to achieve desired results in the next 5 years (see Section 3.1 for details).

In coming to the decision to continue our renewal without the 2020 survey data, we carefully balanced the benefit of waiting for this information against the effort and progress already made in compiling our submission. We commit to reflecting on the survey data when it becomes available in 2021 and will adapt our action plan accordingly.

## Green objectives

We are proud of having achieved nearly two thirds of our objectives, notably:

- increasing the progression of females into $4^{\text {th }}$ year undergraduate study (previous objective 3) where we have reduced the gender gap by $18 \%$ to just $8 \%$ (Table 4). We assess that our mentoring scheme and annual open day event are particularly effective tools to encourage female students to stay on.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Year 3 <br> (\#F) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Year 3 <br> (\#M) | Year 4 <br> (\#F) | Year 4 <br> (\#M) | \%F Y3 <br> (o Y4 | \%M Y3 <br> to Y4 | Gender gap |  |  |
| $2016 / 17$ | 69 | 190 | 38 | 120 | $55 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| $2015 / 16$ | 51 | 187 | 27 | 142 | $53 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| $2014 / 15$ | 60 | 159 | 35 | 120 | $58 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| $2013 / 14$ | 55 | 152 | 26 | 111 | $47 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $26 \%$ |

## Table 4 - Percentage of Female/Male students (all MMaths) who have progressed to fourth year since 2013/14 Entry Year

## Athena SWAN Departmental Renewal Application

- Increasing the number of female postgraduates (Table 1) previous objectives 5\&8 Significantly impacted by Graduate Studies Committee's 2016 aim to offer 100\% funded PGR places by 2020. We learnt that the reduction in interviews for Mathematical and Computational Finance MSc in 2018 appeared to correlate with a $10 \%$ (2018) and $13 \%$ (2019) increase in offers to female PGT applicants. This will inform the MSc review planned for next academic year (future action 4.1).
- We were one of the first departments to set up a Carer's Support Fund to pay for caring-related costs to enable all staff to attend career development opportunities (previous objective 21.3). 9 staff (2M/7F) have been awarded a total $£ 6,000$, and have provided extremely positive feedback (Figure 10).
'This is an inventive policy that greatly supports parents in their work and I am keen to advocate for it however I can. The event I attended was the main biennial conference in my field. The department's fund enabled me to bring my mother over to care for my baby son (3 months old at the time) in my absence; without the fund it would have been impossible for me to go. My attendance at the conference was very beneficial to my career; I had a major result to present and I have received several subsequent profile-raising invitations as a consequence, including being invited to join the organising committee of the conference itself and to give two summer schools in 2020. My talk also initiated a new collaboration with the US national renewable energy laboratory that has opened a new application area for my research in lithium-ion batteries.

Figure 10 - Carers' Support Fund feedback

- The PSS Professional Development Review (PDR) scheme was implemented and began its first cycle in September 2020 (previous objective 24).
- In March 2020, the department launched an Employee Assistance Programme to support managers and staff (previous objective 36).

In summary, many green objectives are complete (e.g. previous objectives 12, 35) or become 'business-as-usual' activities (e.g. previous objectives 22, 27, 31, 32) - these activities do not explicitly reappear in the future action plan. Some require us to strive for the next step change and so have been developed into challenging SMART objectives for our future action plan (e.g. previous objectives 3, 5, 7, 9, 15, 28, 30, 33).

## Red Objectives

These actions are carried forward into the future action plan and we are confident that success measures will soon be met;

- The ECR advisors make every effort to explore ECRs' leaving reasons, but a systematic process of exit interviews / questionnaires, for all staff groups, is planned to provide reliable data (previous objective 16 into future action 12).
- The HR website has been delayed due to staff changes and then the pandemic. The re-launch, including all induction material, has been re-planned and work has started (previous objective 18 into future action 9).
- After significant consultation held in response to staff survey feedback from 2018, the revised Career Development Discussion scheme is due to launch in Michaelmas Term 2020 (previous objectives 19\&20 into future action 10).


## Amber Objectives

Encourage more students in the UK, particularly girls, to take Maths and Further Maths A-levels (previous objective 1) and ensure that undergraduate admissions processes are as fair as possible (previous objective 2)

The number of UK students taking Further Mathematics A-level dropped by 10\% in 2018/19². Of those, the number gaining the $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ required to meet the standard conditional offer for Oxford Mathematics also decreased (Figure 11). We believe this drop to be a consequence of changes to the structure and funding for A-levels, and the move to the new Mathematics GCSE in 2017. Notwithstanding these factors outside our control, we attracted nearly 10\% more applications from 2019 to 2020 (Table 5) and offered places to a consistent share of high quality home pupils from the smaller A-Level pool.


Figure 11: A-Level Further Mathematics class size, leading to national pool of $A^{*}$ Further Mathematics candidates 2016-2019

[^2]Candidates are admitted by colleges which limits the department's ability to influence admissions (see panel guidance). Competition for places has increased, with applications rising by nearly $25 \%$ between 2016 and 2020, but over this period we have closed the gender gap at shortlist by another 3\%, to $9 \%$ (Table 5). While female numbers remain comparatively low, there is no evidence of statistically meaningful gender bias during the interview / offer / acceptance process for female applicants.

Applications Shortlisted

| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2016 | 588 | 205 | $35 \%$ | 67 | $33 \%$ | 63 | $94 \%$ |
| 2017 | 649 | 250 | $39 \%$ | 89 | $36 \%$ | 75 | $84 \%$ |
| 2018 | 705 | 229 | $32 \%$ | 86 | $38 \%$ | 76 | $88 \%$ |
| 2019 | 777 | 226 | $29 \%$ | 70 | $31 \%$ | 60 | $86 \%$ |
| 2020 | 753 | 177 | $24 \%$ | 69 | $39 \%$ |  |  |
|  | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 1066 | 506 | $47 \%$ | 180 | $36 \%$ | 161 | $89 \%$ |
| 2017 | 1020 | 419 | $41 \%$ | 151 | $36 \%$ | 139 | $92 \%$ |
| 2018 | 1206 | 437 | $36 \%$ | 150 | $34 \%$ | 132 | $88 \%$ |
| 2019 | 1241 | 496 | $40 \%$ | 171 | $34 \%$ | 149 | $87 \%$ |
| 2020 | 1427 | 477 | $33 \%$ | 170 | $36 \%$ |  |  |

## Table 5 - Applications for undergraduate study at Oxford 2016-2020 (UK and overseas applicants)

We attribute our relative success in increasing the intake of female students to training and skills building with admission panels, and our exceptional outreach work (Figure 12), particularly It All Adds Up. These are bespoke conferences held with the Department of Statistics three times each year, focussing on inspiring young women to study Mathematics, reaching over 3,000 pupils since 2015. The series won an MPLS Equality and Diversity Best Initiative Award in 2018 and an MPLS Teaching Award in 2019, and evaluation of success led to increased departmental funding for 2020/21.


Figure 12: Outreach programmes run or hosted by the Mathematical Institute

In 2019/20, a University-led 5-yearly Undergraduate Admissions Process Review evaluated all aspects of our admissions process; recommendations will be taken forward by a working group during 2020/21. We have learned from past experience that agreeing clear terms of reference and time frames with Admissions Committee and EDIC for this group will be key to securing success (future action 1.4.3).

Continued outreach work and ensuring fair undergraduate admissions processes are combined into one future SMART objective, a key priority addressed further in Section 3.1.

## Reduce the gender attainment gap in undergraduate assessment (previous objective 4) and in postgraduate taught assessment (previous objective 6)

Since 2016, the gender attainment gap has been thoroughly investigated to identify possible causes, actions have been taken and plans are in place to evaluate them once sufficient assessment data are available;

- In 2017, detailed analysis of the undergraduate gender attainment gaps was presented to Teaching Committee, initial recommendations were implemented (e.g. sharing Part B revision guidance earlier to enable better preparation for Finals) and Gender Attainment Working Group (GAWG) recommended.
- In 2018, GAWG was convened with the Department of Statistics, to further analyse patterns of undergraduate attainment at Prelims and into Parts A\&B. The final report has been delayed due to staff absence, but the GAWG made preliminary findings in 2020, and an action has been implemented to address a particularly challenging course as students transition from school to university; impact will be viewed in light of future exam data.
- In 2017, the MSc Supervisory Committees considered an analysis of gender attainment for PGT. They concluded that there was no obvious difference by assessment type. Updated guidance for MSc tutors, based on educational research findings and feedback from student focus groups, was implemented as a result.
- In 2018, our Faculty Teaching Advisor secured Vice-Chancellor's Diversity Funding for a joint project with the Department for Education, 'Mindsets and Diversity: understanding and addressing attainment gaps amongst Oxford undergraduates in highly mathematical subjects'. Slightly delayed due to the pandemic, the project will report to Teaching Committee in late 2020.
- In 2019, a University-wide report on differential attainment in taught degrees was considered by Teaching Committee, with a view to aligning undergraduate assessment with the recommendations. A small group were delegated to create an action plan, based on the recommendations, which will be presented in 2020/21.

First-year examinations were not held in 2020, but the data available 2016-2019 shows no advancement towards closing the gender attainment gap. We did make progress towards narrowing the gap for First class undergraduate degrees (Parts A \& B) - closing the gap by $13 \%$ from 2016 to 2019 to just $6 \%$. Over the same period, despite some fluctuation in intervening years, the gender gap for First class awards at Part C has not changed (Figure 13).


Figure 13 - Percentage attainment gap between female and male undergraduate students attaining a First-class degree, comparison between 2011-2014 and 20152019 cohorts

Data evaluation has not identified statistically meaningful variances in postgraduate taught attainment given the often very small size of the cohorts being examined (Figure 14). Accordingly, addressing the postgraduate taught attainment gap remains an objective, but is not identified as a key priority in section 3.


Figure 14 - Postgraduate Taught attainment gap at Distinction level comparison between 2015/2016 and 2018/2019 cohorts

The key priority for the department is to join up approaches under Teaching Committee leadership, and cement analysis, evaluation and learning into action to address the gender attainment gaps, as reflected in Section 3.1 and the future action plan (future actions 2.1, 2.2 \& 2.3).

## Support wellbeing of postgraduate students (previous objective 11)

The department has striven to establish an environment in which Postgraduate wellbeing is supported, for example mentoring, counselling service training and a 2019 pilot of an interdepartmental peer support programme (previous actions 11.2, 11.3 \& 11.4). While student surveys in 2016 and 2018 reflected good levels of satisfaction in key areas which have a significant influence on wellbeing (Table 6), some reduction in satisfaction was noted particularly in respect of workload and work/home balance, more so for males.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Postgraduate Survey year \& \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{2016} \& \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{2018} \& <br>
\hline Female/Male \& \& F \& \& M \& \& \& F \& \& M \& Total \& <br>
\hline Total Number of responses \& \& 12 \& \& 33 \& Total \& \& 17 \& \& 51 \& \& Diff. <br>
\hline My workload is reasonable (Strongly Agree/Agree) My supervisor respects me as an individual (Strongly Agree/Agree) Satisfied with work/home balance (Strongly Agree/Agree) \& 10
11
10 \& $83 \%$
$92 \%$
$83 \%$ \& 33
33
30 \& $100 \%$
$100 \%$

$91 \%$ \& $96 \%$
$98 \%$
$89 \%$ \& 15
16
15 \& $88 \%$
$94 \%$
$88 \%$ \& 45
50
41 \& $88 \%$
$98 \%$
$80 \%$ \& $88 \%$
$97 \%$
$82 \%$ \& $-8 \%$
$-1 \%$
$-7 \%$ <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## Table 6 - Postgraduate student responses to questions about wellbeing, from department Student Surveys in 2016 \& 2018

Our Covid-19 survey across all staff indicated some good levels of satisfaction with wellbeing support, but rather more lukewarm replies than we might like (Figure 15). Analysis of this data, alongside the free text responses, accordingly informed the decision to broaden this objective out into a coordinated approach to support wellbeing across all staff groups as a key future objective in Section 3.1, in line with the department's strategic plan.


Figure 15 - Covid-19 Survey responses relating to wellbeing by staff group, July 2020

## Attract more women to apply for Statutory Professorships (previous objective 17)

We appointed our first female Statutory Professor in 2018, however, these positions are few and we do not have sufficient data to draw conclusions about the effectiveness of changes to the recruitment processes. In 2018, this objective was broadened to include Associate Professorships (AP) (previous action 17.3).

Since 2016, the number of female applicants for each AP posts has remained broadly static and relatively low, but the likelihood of a female candidate being shortlisted has increased by $7 \%$ to $20 \%$ - overtaking male applicants, $14 \%$ of whom were shortlisted (Table 7). From this we believe that our search panels are encouraging strong female candidates to apply. However, this has not translated into successful appointments: in the review period we recruited eight APs, all men.

| Associate <br> Professors | M/F | 2011- <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | \% of <br> total | \% of <br> previous <br> stage | 2016- <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | \% of <br> total | \% of <br> previous <br> stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Applied | M | 795 | $85 \%$ |  | 296 | $85 \%$ |  |
|  | F | 135 | $15 \%$ |  | 51 | $15 \%$ |  |
| Shortlisted | M | 121 | $88 \%$ | $15 \%$ | 42 | $81 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
|  | F | 17 | $12 \%$ | $13 \%$ | 10 | $19 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
|  | F | 21 | $88 \%$ | $17 \%$ | 8 | $100 \%$ | $19 \%$ |

## Table 7 - Associate Professor recruitment stages between 2015 and 2020

Overall learning, including analysis of selection reports ${ }^{3}$, indicates search panels' actions are working to increase the number of shortlist-able women applying and selection panels are trained to be aware of their unconscious bias, but this is not leading towards a genderbalanced faculty sufficiently quickly. Women are coming second in some selection processes. It is unrealistic / unachievable for us to significantly change the selection model as it follows a central University process agreed with 39 independent Colleges, who share decision-making with the department as part of appointment panels. Accordingly, our reflections led us to question - can we provide better information on the process to help women prepare? Can we have another post ready and offer two posts so that we still appoint the exceptional second candidate? Can we actively aim to recruit those with an interest in women in maths? We were interested to see our comparator Cambridge advertise at least two posts with specific wording to encourage female applicants (see figure 21).

We discuss future action further in Section 3.1 where this objective is identified as key priority, and we have set an ambitious target to address this in the forthcoming review period (future action 8 ).

[^3]
## Establish better mechanisms for monitoring, evaluating and managing academic staff workload (previous objective 25) and reduce academic staff workload overall (previous objective 26)

A workload model that provides accessible information and quantifiable data is a pre-requisite for monitoring workload and the identification of possible measurable workload reductions. Consequently, we consider these two objectives together.

Following the 2016 staff survey, a database was implemented in 2017 to capture individual faculty workload data. 2018 survey feedback showed decreases in academic satisfaction with workload volume (-9\%) and allocation (-18\%) (Table 8). The sample size is small, but a gender gap in the satisfaction levels was apparent.

| Staff Survey year | 2016 |  |  |  |  | 2018 |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female/Male |  | F |  | M |  |  | F |  | M |  |  |
| Total Number of Academic responses |  | 9 |  | 5 | Total |  | 4 |  | 30 |  | Diff. |
| There is a fair and transparent allocation of workload (Strongly Agree/Agree) My workload is reasonable (Strongly Agree/Agree) | 4 | $44 \%$ $44 \%$ | 19 18 | $76 \%$ $72 \%$ | $68 \%$ $65 \%$ | 1 1 | $25 \%$ $25 \%$ | 16 18 | $53 \%$ $60 \%$ | $50 \%$ $56 \%$ | $-18 \%$ $-9 \%$ |

## Table 8 - Academic Staff responses to questions about workload, from Staff Experience Surveys in 2016 \& 2018

The concerns were the subject of discussion during the faculty Away Day in March 2019. Extensive consultation took place throughout 2019/2020 (at focus groups, departmental committees and working groups) to understand what faculty wanted from a revised workload model. This culminated in development of a more transparent and accessible workload model which will be piloted during 2020/21. We will review the pilot using system data and qualitative feedback from staff, before adjusting and running the model for a further three years.

An unexpected learning outcome of this consultation exercise was identification of some inconsistencies within admissions/examining administration and processes - streamlining and adopting best practice will form part of the work to reduce workload (future action 13.4).

The Covid-19 survey indicated concerns about the impact of the pandemic on research outputs. Although staff appeared satisfied with support for the transition to homeworking during lockdown (Figure 16), free text answers highlighted the concerns many PSS also held around the volume of workload. This will be explored further in the termly surveys (future action 11.2), and informed the decision to broaden the future key priority out across both staff groups, as described in section 3.1.


Figure 16 - Covid-19 Survey responses relating to workload by staff group, July 2020

## Ensure that gender equality is built into the organisation of seminars and similar events (previous objective 29)

We successfully completed previous action 29.1, but since 2016 the proportion of female seminar speakers has fallen from $19 \%$ to $17 \%$ (Table 9). Analysis shows a weak correlation between the gender of speakers and research groups, which reflects the broader issue that certain branches of mathematics (e.g. Logic and Functional Analysis) are studied by fewer females in the UK. However, Fridays@4 has considerable success in securing women speakers and, thus attracting a good rate of female attendees to weekly events (Table 10).

|  | No. <br> Events | Female <br> Speakers | Male <br> Speakers | \% Female speakers |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Seminar series | 711 | 112 | 586 | $16 \%$ |
| Fridays@4 | 23 | 17 | 30 | $36 \%$ |
| Colloquia | 6 | 1 | 5 | $20 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 \%}$ |

Table 9: Data for seminar speakers where gender known (for the 2018/19 Academic Year)

| Number of <br> events | Total <br> Attendance | Average <br> attendance <br> per event | Total <br> attendance <br> since <br> MT2019 | Total Female <br> attendance <br> since MT2019 | \%F attendance <br> since MT2019 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 28 | 660 | 33.8 | 289 | 198 | $41 \%$ |

Table 10: Fridays@4 attendance since 2018 (plus gender breakdown for 2019 events, when recording began)

We will increase efforts to achieve gender balance across all speaker series by highlighting our aims to organisers, maximising opportunities for online contributions and promoting access to childcare support, and sharing best practice and innovative ideas between groups. We hope this will have a further positive effect on future female recruitment into research and academic posts by increasing networks and connections. This remains important, as future action 15, but is not a key priority for section 3.1.
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## Section 3 - Future priorities and action plan

In section 3, applicants should evidence how they meet Criteria 4 and 5:

+ key priorities have been appropriately identified, to direct future action
+ a specific, measurable, achievable, relevant and time-bound (SMART) action plan has been provided, which addresses priorities.


### 3.1. Current self-assessment and future priorities

Consider the department's self-assessment (previous and current), data analyses and previous action plan with respect to the areas covered by the standard Athena SWAN application form. These include:

+ student enrolment, progression and support
+ key career transition points
+ career development
+ flexible working and managing career breaks
+ organisation and culture.

1. have the department's gender equality issues changed, and if so, how?
2. what are the department's key priorities for future action?

Our priorities look across key areas to support women in mathematics; the issues are complex and not easy to solve and so despite good progress we remain committed to focussing efforts on key transition points, career development and welfare support, as described here.

| Future key priorities | Reference <br> (Section 3.2) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sustain current progression towards gender equality in undergraduate <br> admissions; achieving at least 30\% female undergraduate admissions <br> across all Mathematics degrees, by 2025 | 1 |
| Reduce the gap between male and female attainment of Firsts for Parts A <br> \& B to 4.4\% by 2025 | 2 |
| Improve staff and student awareness and uptake of wellbeing support | 7 |
| Increase the gender diversity among academic staff to at least current <br> national average, 22\% female or higher, by 2025. | 8 |
| Reduce departmental workload demands for academic and professional <br> services staff, by 2025. | 13 |

## Future Objective 1: Sustain current progression towards gender equality in undergraduate admissions; achieving at least $30 \%$ female undergraduate admissions across all Mathematics degrees, by 2025

The national landscape for the subject of Mathematics has become more challenging since our 2016 application. Figure 17 shows a significant drop in UCAS applications for Mathematical Sciences at university in 2019: applications from UK-domiciled students fell $18 \%$ from 2018 to 2019, with a further slight $1 \%$ drop to 2020 . The proportion of female applicants has remained around $33 \%-34 \%$. It is not clear whether further factors impact this reduction, beyond those influencing the fall in A-level Mathematics and Further Mathematics numbers (as described in section 2.2). We anticipate this decline to be a continuing effect in the short-term, and competition will increase to attract the best female pupils - it will be a challenge to increase numbers without reducing the quality of our students.

Accordingly, outreach efforts remain a priority. We must continue to encourage and inspire female students to take Further Maths, and to apply to Oxford to study Maths - this is reflected in our action plan (future actions 1.2 and 1.3).


Figure 17 - UCAS applications - UK-domiciled students 2016-20204
Our percentage of female undergraduates has increased since 2016 (Table 11) and benchmarking indicates that, at $28 \%$, we are moving closer to the national average of $37 \%{ }^{5}$ female representation for undergraduate mathematics students (Figure 18).

|  | $\mathbf{F}$ | M | Total | \%F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 201 | 652 | 853 | $24 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 225 | 664 | 889 | $25 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 262 | 671 | 933 | $28 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 260 | 658 | 918 | $28 \%$ |

Table 11 - Total numbers undergraduates 2016-2019

[^4]

Figure 18-2019 Undergraduate student benchmark data - UK HEls ${ }^{6}$
In 2021, we will begin to reap the benefits of the HEAT Service - a secure online database we have engaged with since 2017 to enable us to monitor, track, and evaluate widening participation (WP) activities. As data become available we will be better placed to learn which elements of outreach are having most impact, enabling us to better focus efforts and invest resource.

In 2019/20, a University-led 5-yearly Admissions Process Review evaluated all aspects of our admissions; some recommendations can be implemented relatively quickly, while agreeing changes to process with Colleges requires more planning (future action 1.4). The APR Working Group, including representation from the SAT, will investigate differential bias on the Maths Admissions Test to ensure that our undergraduate admissions processes are as fair as possible.

## Future Objective 2: Reduce the gap between male and female attainment of Firsts for Parts A \& B to 4.4\% by 2025

As identified in Section 2.2, there remain gender attainment gaps at both undergraduate and postgraduate levels. In line with the University's Equality objectives ${ }^{7}$, our key focus is on undergraduate attainment of first-class degrees.

The department awaits the outcome of three reports, as described in section 2.2; from the Gender Attainment Working Group, from Teaching Committee regarding assessment methods, and from the Mindsets \& Diversity Project. The pandemic led to Prelims being cancelled in 2020, and significant changes to the way Parts A \& B were examined (online,

[^5]open book examinations, and extensive changes to the options examined and marking schemes). While this unprecedented approach to examining may not change how we examine in the future, it may present opportunities for comparison with past / future results.

Further, future objective 3 will address progression of students to Part C , and future objective 5 will address the MSc attainment gap, including the impact of the Merit classification introduced in 2019.

## Future Objective 7: Improve staff and student awareness and uptake of wellbeing support

Our departmental strategy aims to support staff and students to achieve their full potential; a key aim, therefore, is to create a working environment in which students and staff can find work/life balance and wellbeing.

The pandemic posed significant challenges but the Covid-19 employee survey indicated adequate levels of staff satisfaction with departmental support and there was no notable gender disparity (Figure 19). However, the scores coupled with additional qualitative feedback, flag that wellbeing must remain a priority for the department. Key learning from feedback will be to mitigate the impact of remote working/teaching; high, uncertain or new workloads on staff; adapting to changing work circumstances; as well as supporting staff to feel safe to work, particularly where they have ongoing caring responsibilities and/or relationships with vulnerable people.


Figure 19 - Covid-19 Survey responses relating to wellbeing by gender, July 2020
In March 2020 the department implemented an Employee Assistance Programme; preliminary data reveals that the service has not been well used. Taking this and survey feedback into consideration, we are determined to increase awareness of and access to appropriate wellbeing support (future action 7.2).

We will consult with students and staff about departmental support to supplement and enhance the existing college and central provisions (future action 7.1). Themes will inform the development of the wellbeing strategy.

## Future Objective 8. Increase the gender diversity among academic staff to at least current national average, 22\% female or higher, by 2025.

The foundational context for this objective is the ECR career pipeline; throughout the review period we have attracted broadly similar numbers of female applicants to our posts, although numbers are consistently lower than male applicants. There appears to be little disparity in success at each recruitment stage between male and female applicants, in fact women do slightly better at shortlisting (Table 13).

| PDRAs | M/F | $\begin{aligned} & 2016- \\ & 2017 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \% of <br> total | \% of prev stage | $\begin{aligned} & 2017- \\ & 2018 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \% of total | \% of prev stage | $\begin{aligned} & 2018- \\ & 2019 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \% of total | \% of prev stage | $\begin{aligned} & 2019- \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | \% of total | \% of prev stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Applied | M | 190 | 84\% |  | 239 | 81\% |  | 263 | 85\% |  | 482 | 84\% |  |
|  | F | 36 | 16\% |  | 56 | 19\% |  | 48 | 15\% |  | 89 | 16\% |  |
| Shortlisted | M | 44 | 72\% | 23\% | 59 | 70\% | 25\% | 56 | 84\% | 21\% | 83 | 82\% | 17\% |
|  | F | 17 | 28\% | 47\% | 25 | 30\% | 45\% | 11 | 16\% | 23\% | 18 | 18\% | 20\% |
| Offered | M | 12 | 63\% | 27\% | 24 | 83\% | 41\% | 25 | 81\% | 45\% | 25 | 83\% | 30\% |
|  | F | 7 | 37\% | 41\% | 5 | 17\% | 20\% | 6 | 19\% | 55\% | 5 | 17\% | 28\% |
| Accepted | M | 10 | 63\% | 83\% | 21 | 91\% | 88\% | 22 | 81\% | 88\% | 21 | 81\% | 84\% |
|  | F | 6 | 38\% | 86\% | 2 | 9\% | 40\% | 5 | 19\% | 83\% | 5 | 19\% | 100\% |

Table 13 - PDRA recruitment pipeline between 2016-2020
From 2017/18, after the introduction of mandatory recruitment Chair training against implicit bias, females are more than twice as likely to be shortlisted and, since 2017, more likely to be offered a fellowship than males (Table 14). These posts offer an excellent career development opportunity to ECRs, but these rising stars are not being retained at Oxford beyond their fellowships. We have identified a gap between these posts and our Associate Professorships - what stepping stones might we develop ourselves, or encourage instead (Royal Society URFs, for example)?

| H\&T | M/F | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & 2017 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | \% at each stage | $\begin{aligned} & 2017- \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | \% of <br> total | \% at each stage | $\begin{aligned} & 2018- \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | \% of <br> total | \% at each stage | $\begin{aligned} & 2019 \\ & 2020 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \% of total | \% at each stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Applied | M | 147 | 79\% |  | 250 | 83\% |  | 385 |  |  | 314 | 85\% |  |
|  | F | 39 | 21\% |  | 51 | 17\% |  | 86 |  |  | 57 | 15\% |  |
| Shortlisted | M | 7 | 64\% | 5\% | 17 | 65\% | 7\% | 22 | 67\% | 6\% | 9 | 64\% | 3\% |
|  | F | 4 | 36\% | 10\% | 9 | 35\% | 18\% | 11 | 33\% | 13\% | 5 | 36\% | 9\% |
| Offered | M | 5 | 83\% | 71\% | 4 | 50\% | 24\% | 7 | 54\% | 32\% | 3 | 60\% | 33\% |
|  | F | 1 | 17\% | 25\% | 4 | 50\% | 44\% | 6 | 46\% | 55\% | 2 | 40\% | 40\% |
| Accepted | M | 2 | 100\% | 40\% | 4 | 57\% | 100\% | 5 | 56\% | 71\% | 2 | 50\% | 67\% |
|  | F | 0 | 0\% | 0\% | 3 | 43\% | 75\% | 4 | 44\% | 67\% | 2 | 50\% | 100\% |

Table 14 - Hooke \& Titchmarsh recruitment pipeline between 2016-2020
Recruiting women to senior academic posts has been challenging due to their lower representation nationally and internationally. Overall, our current female academic population
is $18.5 \%$ (Table 1), compared to the national average of $22.4 \%^{8}$. Over the next five years, we anticipate five Associate Professor vacancies and aim to recruit at least two women, in tandem to the SMART future objective 8 . We will do this by developing an overarching departmental recruitment strategy (future action 8.1), including learning from our comparators' approach to recruitment (Figure 20). We will evaluate the candidate experience and our online presence, and keep up to date with best recruitment practice through our networks.

> 'The post also involves research and other activities aimed at promoting women's participation and achievement in Mathematics. The successful applicant will have a genuine interest in and commitment to developing the role of women in Mathematics, and an interest in establishing innovative, evidence-based programmes that will target women at all levels (school and college, University and beyond). In addition, the successful candidate will need to demonstrate the potential to be a strong role model to female mathematicians.'

Figure 20: Excerpt from a recent job description at another HEI
A new action is to build our networks: if staff (ECRs in particular) leave for jobs elsewhere, we will invite them back as speakers; we will keep in touch with speakers in case future vacancies are of interest; and so on (future actions 12.2 and 15).

Overall, we recognise work is still necessary to break down barriers to females applying to, being successful for, and accepting academic positions at Oxford. This will be one of our top priorities in the next five years.

## Future Objective 13. Reduce departmental workload demands for academic and professional services staff, by 2025.

Ahead of the workload model launch, it is difficult to obtain data to SMARTen this objective doing so is future action 13.3.

Staff surveys, although a small sample size, showed that satisfaction with volume and allocation of workload was lower for females than males in 2016 and had further dropped by 2018 (Table 8). Surveys for 2020 were suspended due to the pandemic, but as the new workload model has not yet been implemented (and the next phase of streamlining work has not begun) we might not expect to see changes in those satisfaction scores yet.

The Covid-19 survey demonstrated concerns about the impact of lockdown on research output particularly, across both genders (Figure 21), and national survey data in $2020^{9}$, and an article in Nature ${ }^{10}$, indicate that lockdown had a disproportionate effect on women, as women take on a greater proportion of the domestic burden.

[^6]

Figure 21 - Covid-19 Survey responses relating to workload by gender, July 2020
We encourage a flexible working approach and, prompted by the Covid-19 pandemic, have adapted to working in new ways - we will seek to incorporate these lessons in future reviews (future actions 4.1, 8.1, 11.2, 13.4). With the revised workload model (future action 13.1) we will evaluate and incorporate further analysis, to inform thinking about how we can reduce workload over the next 5 years to ensure better work-life balance
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### 3.2. Future Action plan

Please provide (in table format) an action plan covering the four-year award period.


## Athena SWAN Departmental Renewal Application

| Objective | Rationale | Action |  | Timescale |  | Person Responsible | Success Measures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
|  |  | 1.3.1 'It All Adds Up' is run annually, and feedback from participation is reviewed to inform future improvements and measure success <br> 1.3.2 Collaborate with external partners (LMS, EPSRC, other Russell Group universities) to develop and implement events which focus on engaging girls in Maths, identifying opportunities to apply for diversity funds <br> 1.4 Implement Admissions Process Review recommendations; <br> 1.4.1 Make admissions manual available for tutors <br> 1.4.2 For GCSE applicants, contextualised GCSEs will be used for admissions instead of proportion of A*s at GCSE. For non-GCSE (overseas) applicants, adopt the suggested alternative method of modelling the ranking variable (PSI) |  | Oct-20 | Annual | SLO / AOC | 1.3.1 (i) Attendees to It All Adds Up are identified as coming through the Oxford pipeline <br> 1.3.1 (ii) Positive feedback from attendees |
|  |  |  |  | Oct-21 | Sept-23 | WL/AOC | 1.3.2 (i) Diversity funds are applied for and secured 1.3.2(ii) At least 1 collaborative event is arranged within 3 years |
|  |  |  |  | Oct-20 | Oct-21 | AOC | 1.4.1 (i) Manual created, refreshed and circulated annually |
|  |  |  |  | For 2020 <br> Admissions cycle |  | AOC | 1.4.2 (i) Revised processes implemented |


| Objective | Rationale | Action | Timescale |  | Person Responsible | Success Measures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
|  |  | 1.4.3 Working Group considers (a) why certain groups do less well at MAT even when school exam results are controlled for; (b) how these effects might be mitigated; (c) what might underlie differential bias across questions and makes recommendations to Admissions Committee | Dec-20 | July-21 | DUS / APR Working Group | 1.4.3 (i) Actions are incorporated into the AS Action Plan |
|  |  | 1.4.4 Colleges and Department work together to ensure applicants have similar numbers of interviews with parity of process | For 2021 <br> Admissions cycle |  | DUS / AOC | 1.4.4 (i) Agreements and protocols in place with Colleges <br> 1.4.4. (ii) All candidates receive same number of interviews at admissions 1.4.4 (iii) New tool used at final meeting to identify nearmiss candidates |
| 2. Reduce the gap between male and female attainment of | In last two years, $35 \%$ female students achieved a | 2.1 Implement recommendations of the 'Mindsets and Diversity Project: understanding and addressing attainment gaps amongst Oxford undergraduates in highly mathematical subjects' | Nov-20 | Jun-21 | DUS / FTA | 2.1 (i) Recommendations lead to a SMART action plan to achieve objectives over 4 years |
| A\&B to $4.4 \%$ by 2025 | to $40 \%$ male (2019) and 54\% (2020) | 2.2 Gender Attainment Working Group review concludes, recommendations made | Jan-21 | Jun-21 | DUS | 2.2 (i) Recommendations are implemented and monitored accordingly |
|  |  | 2.3 Implement recommendations of assessment methods report commissioned by Teaching Committee | Dec-20 | Jun-21 | DUS | 2.3 (i) Recommendations are implemented and monitored accordingly |



| Objective | Rationale | Action | Timescale |  | Person Responsible | Success Measures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
| 5. Reduce the gap between male and female attainment at postgraduate taught level, to $2 \%$ by 2025 | 2019: <br> Distinctions awarded to $41 \%$ female 47\% males <br> Merits awarded to $22 \%$ female 26\% males | 5.1 MSc course review undertaken to improve admissions, teaching and examining processes across department | Jul-21 | Dec-21 | DGS(T) | 5.1 (i) Review is completed and gender-related recommendations are made and implemented |
| 6. Raise awareness and uptake of learning and development opportunities, especially among postgraduate students | 2019 data: $11 \%$ female and $16 \%$ male postgraduate students accessed training | 6.1 Improve communications to publicise University and department learning and development (L\&D) activities; across induction, through a regular 'training news' item in Student bulletin, encourage Research Groups and to share opportunities <br> 6.2 Monitor and report on uptake of student L\&D activity within department, including Fridays@4 and Mock interviews, with a particular focus on females | Jan-21 <br> Oct-21 | Annual <br> Annual | HAA <br> ECR Advisors / DUS / DGSs | 6.1 (i) $30 \%$ of female and $30 \%$ of male postgraduate students have engaged in L\&D provided by the University annually by 2025 <br> 6.2 (i) Evidence of impact of departmental L\&D activity in student surveys 6.2 (ii) 80\% of Mock Interview opportunities are filled, including $30 \%$ female attendance, by 2023 |
| People |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Improve staff and student awareness and uptake of wellbeing support | Covid survey (July 2020): $71 \%$ overall staff satisfaction with wellbeing support (59\% | 7.1 Consider latest staff experience survey data, once available, and based on that consult with students and staff to develop departmental Wellbeing Strategy, ensuring that provision complements and enhances existing arrangements provided by College and central services | Sep-21 | Dec-21 | HFSHR / DUS / DGSs/ HAA | 7.1 (i) Wellbeing strategy developed, incorporating SMART objectives |


| Objective | Rationale | Action | Timescale |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Person } \\ & \text { Responsible } \end{aligned}$ | Success Measures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
|  | within academic faculty) <br> Low EAP usage (1 March - 19 July 2020): 4 calls, 3 accounts created | 7.2 Evaluate the Employee Assistance Programme, launched in March 2020, to identify ways to encourage uptake, needs for additional support and consider expansion to postgraduate students | Apr-21 | Jun-21 | HAF / HFSHR | 7.2(i) Evaluation complete and report to EDIC with recommendations to reflect emerging themes 7.2 (ii) $75 \%$ of staff aware of the EAP, and My Family Care services |
|  |  | 7.3 Conduct termly Covid survey to gauge wellbeing and adapt support accordingly (see also at Action 11.2); and introduce additional wellbeing questions into biennial student surveys. | Nov-20 | Dec-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HFSHR / } \\ & \text { HAA } \end{aligned}$ | 7.3 (i) $80 \%$ overall staff satisfaction with wellbeing support (including 80\% within academic faculty) by Nov 2021 |
|  |  | 7.4 Introduce structured assessment of sickness data and return to work discussions to determine areas for future action and themes for support | Dec-21 | Annual | HAF / HFSHR | 7.4 (i) Reduction in staff sickness absence by 2025 |
|  |  | 7.5 Implement mental health training to equip those who support staff and students | Dec-21 | 2025 | HAF / HAA / HFSHR | 7.5 (i) $100 \%$ of managers, student supervisors and staff in student facing roles undertake mental health awareness training by 2025 |
| 8. Increase the gender diversity among academic staff to at least current national average, 22\% | 2020: 18.5\% female 'academic' staff (national average 22.4\%) <br> 2020 data: <br> $15 \%$ female | 8.1 Develop a recruitment strategy to ensure best practice across all recruitment exercises, requiring; <br> - broad advertising strategy, inclusivity of language and style, stating key dates in advance to support candidates' planning <br> - flexibility around interview dates <br> - proactive search protocols, including <br> Keep in Touch and engagement with | 2021 | 2023 | HOD/ AHOD CD / HAF / HFSHR | 8.1(i) At least 1 recruitment process chaired by a female in next 3 campaigns <br> 8.1 (ii) At least 1 search panel led by a female in next 3 campaigns <br> 8.1 (iii) $30 \%$ of potential applicants identified by search panels are female |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Objective} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Rationale} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Action} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Timescale} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Person Responsible} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Success Measures} \\
\hline \& \& \& Start \& End \& \& \\
\hline female or higher, within next 5 years \& \begin{tabular}{l}
applicants for AP posts \\
2020: 19\% of applicants invited to interview were female \\
(20\% of female applicants are shortlisted, compared to \(14 \%\) of males applicants)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
female contacts (e.g. speakers/visitors / previous applicants) \\
- panels that are representative, trained and well briefed \\
- support for those making applications and attending interviews \\
- record keeping, data evaluation and reporting on each recruitment exercise. \\
8.2 Explore budget and headcount permissions for extra post(s), to be held in reserve, so that the department is ready to appoint exceptional candidates if/when opportunities present \\
8.3 Engage with central People Organisational Development unit to develop succession planning strategy to design effective career development support for internal pool with high potential, to prepare for future vacancies and leadership positions \\
8.4 Plan structured support for faculty around Recognition of Distinction, Titular Professor / Associate Professor title processes \\
(see also action 10.1 below)
\end{tabular} \& Oct-20
Jan-22

May-21 \& Oct-22
Dec-22

Annual \& \begin{tabular}{l}
HOD / HAF <br>
AHOD CD / HFSHR <br>
HOD / HFSHR

 \& 

8.1 (iv) $15 \%$ increase in female applicants over next 3 campaigns <br>
8.1(v) At least 1 female appointment at AP level in next 3 campaigns <br>
8.1 (vi) $100 \%$ of panel members undertake recruitment and implicit bias training <br>
8.2 (i) Agreement to make an opportune AP appointment is in place <br>
8.3 (i) At least 1 key decision making committee is chaired by a senior female academic 8.3 (ii) $100 \%$ of key decision making committees include at least 1 female academic <br>
8.4 (i) Positive feedback from APs on support for promotions
\end{tabular} <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Objective} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Rationale} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Action} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Timescale} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Person Responsible} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Success Measures} \\
\hline \& \& \& Start \& End \& \& \\
\hline 9. Provide onboarding support and a comprehensive induction to all new starters \& Continuation of 2016 objective \& \begin{tabular}{l}
9.1 Refresh and update processes to ensure that all new starters are aware of existing schemes and training, mentor support, societies and networks, etc. \\
9.2 Develop HR website to ensure that information is easily accessible pre-start and during employment
\end{tabular} \& Jan-21

Jan-21 \& Dec-21 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
AHOD CD / ECR Advisors / HFSHR <br>
HFSHR

 \& 

9.1 (i) $100 \%$ of new staff receive an induction <br>
9.1(ii) At least $85 \%$ of new starters find their induction useful via staff experience survey by 2023 <br>
9.1 (iii) Continuous improvement enhances induction satisfaction to $95 \%$
\end{tabular} <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{10. Provide all staff with the opportunity to reflect on their performance and discuss their career development aims as part of a departmental appraisal scheme} \& 2019: 100\% of ECRs (F\&M) had an initial CDR \& 10.1 Offer a Career Development Discussion (CDD) to every member of academic faculty outside of Initial Period of Office, every two years \& Oct-20 \& Annual \& HOD / AHOD CD / HFSHR \& 10.1(i) 100\% of eligible academic faculty are offered a CDD every two years, with $30 \%$ uptake in first 2 years, <br>

\hline \& 7\%F and 13\%M ECRs had a follow up CDR at 12months \& 10.2 Refresh Career Development Review (CDR) process for ECRs in line with revisions to the Concordat - following consultation with ECRs and the Advisors and offer annually \& Oct-21 \& Annual \& ECR Advisors / HFSHR \& | rising to $60 \%$ in 5 years |
| :--- |
| 10.2 (i) $80 \%$ of eligible ECRs have an annual CDR meeting. |
| 10.2 (ii) At least $80 \%$ of ECRs are satisfied with their CDR meeting | <br>


\hline \& \& | 10.3 Ensure that all eligible PSS have the opportunity of an annual Personal Development Review (PDR) review |
| :--- |
| 10.4 CDD / CDR / PDR schemes are monitored for satisfaction and continuous improvements made based on feedback | \& Oct-20 \& Annual \& HAF \& | 10.3 (i) $100 \%$ of eligible PSS have a PDR meeting annually |
| :--- |
| 10.3 (ii) At least $80 \%$ of PSS are satisfied with their PDR meeting annually | <br>


\hline 11. Increase awareness of, and uptake of, the schemes available to support career \& | 2019 data: |
| :--- |
| 54 staff attended training events (20F / 34M) | \& | 11.1 Staff are actively encouraged to: |
| :--- |
| - undertake training and development; |
| - take up leadership training and mentoring opportunities (e.g. Academic Leadership Development Programme) | \& Oct-22 \& Annual \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { HOD / AHOD } \\
& \text { CD }
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 11.1(i) All staff engage with at least 1 learning event within each academic year by 2025 <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

| Objective | Rationale | Action | Timescale |  | Person Responsible | Success Measures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
| progression, across <br> Academic, ECR <br> and PSS staff | 2018 staff survey data: 70\%F and 86\%M were satisfied they had the opportunity to take on new responsibility or develop new skills | 11.2 Carry out termly Covid-19 survey, to ascertain the extent of the Covid-19 pandemic on career development, and seek opportunities to mitigate these, with particular focus on those with significant caring responsibilities | Oct-20 | Aug-21 | HOD / AHOD CD / HAF | 11.1(ii) At least 1 member of academic staff attends the ALDP annually |
| groups |  |  |  |  |  | 11.2(i) Covid Survey is distributed termly and gender-related outcomes reviewed for recommendations / actions (short/medium/long term) |
|  |  | 11.3 Consider departmental learning and development needs, informed by themes emerging from CDD / CDR / PDR schemes and strategic priorities. <br> - Define mandatory and desirable training aims, <br> - Encourage training uptake across the board, and recording of time in workload model, <br> - Lobby central University for better tracking systems including joined up approach with Colleges. | Nov-20 | Annual | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOD / AHOD } \\ & \text { CD / ECR } \\ & \text { Advisors / } \\ & \text { HAF / HFSHR } \end{aligned}$ | 11.3 (i) Training outcomes from reviews inform annual training plan <br> 11.3 (ii) 80\% of ECRs report that they are making use of 10 days' L\&D time per year 11.3 (iii) Overall \% of staff satisfaction with L\&D increases to $85 \%$, with no significant gender differential |
|  |  | 11.4 Enhance probation and end of Fixed term contract processes to ensure that ECRs get professional development and career planning advice to prepare them for their next career stage; including training | Jan-21 | Dec-21 | AHOD CD / HFSHR | 11.4 (i) $100 \%$ of staff are offered a meeting with HR at the end of a fixed-term contract to talk though options and support |


| Objective | Rationale | Action | Timescale |  | Person Responsible | Success Measures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
|  |  | and guidance for Pls to ensure good quality and consistency <br> 11.5 Encourage wider take up of training, development and mentoring opportunities by PSS, including Work Learn Develop opportunities, line management training and Apprenticeships. | Oct-20 | Annual | HAF | 11.5 (i) At least 1 PSS apprentice post in recruitment planning / in post at any time within the department <br> 11.5 (ii) At least 1 PSS undertakes professional qualification route through WLD, or externally, every 2 years |
| 12. Develop the leavers process to ensure that we capture accurate destination data and learn from employee experience feedback | Continuation of 2016 Objective | 12.1 Revise exit survey and move to online system for implementation across all staff groups, supplemented by optional face to face meetings. <br> 12.2 Develop process to build and maintain a network of 'alumni', for Hooke \& Titchmarsh fellows in particular <br> 12.3 Review exit responses for themes to enhance the support for ECRs at the MI, reporting to EDIC and ECR as appropriate | Jan-21 <br> Jun-21 <br> Jan-22 | Dec-21 <br> Dec-23 <br> Annual | ECR Advisors / HFSHR / IT Manager HFSHR <br> HFSHR | 12.1(i) Revised exit survey is developed and in use 12.1 (ii) $90 \%$ of leavers either have an exit meeting or complete online survey 12.2 (i) Active network with 15 members established by end 2023 <br> 12.3 (i) Exit data reviewed annually and recommendations for action made to EDIC/relevant committees |


| Objective | Rationale | Action | Timescale |  | Person Responsible | Success Measures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
| Organisation \& Culture |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Reduce departmental workload demands for academic and professional services staff, by 2025 | 2018 survey: <br> 50\% Academic (F25\%/M53\%) and 69\% PSS (F30\%/M45\%) | 13.1 Develop and pilot an accessible online workload model that visually represents individual workload across key categories, enabling comparison with anonymised colleagues across broad staff groups, for 12-months, | Jan-21 | Jun-24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AHOD AP / } \\ & \text { HAF / HFSHR } \\ & \text { / IT Manager } \end{aligned}$ | 13.1(i) Pilot workload model implemented by April 2021 13.1(ii) Workload data is used to inform allocation of workload <br> 13.1(iii) Achieve 60\% |
|  | agree workload is fair and transparent | 13.2. Review and consolidate feedback from users of pilot model during MT21 | Jan-22 | Jun-22 | HOD/ AHOD AP / HFSHR | (academic) and 80\% (PSS) satisfaction with volume of workload in 2023 staff satisfaction survey, with no |
|  | 56\% Academic (F25\%, M60\%) |  |  |  | HOD/ AHOD | significant gender differentials |
|  | and 69\% PSS (F67\%/M82\%) agree workload | 13.3 SMARTen workload objective, once data from workload model is available | Jun-22 | Dec-22 | AP / HAF | 13.3 (i) Workload metrics are available and used to inform SMART targets for reduction |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { allocation is } \\ & \text { reasonabl } \end{aligned}$ | 13.4 During Self Evaluation exercise, identify areas to streamline effort, share best practice and minimise inefficiency where processes differ (e.g. Examination and Admissions), in preparation for the 5year Departmental Review. | 2022 | 2023 | HOD | 13.4 (i) Streamlining effort is set out as a key aim of SelfEvaluation process |
| 14. Raise awareness of and participation in the range of networks, societies and informal groups for female and | Continuation of 2016 objective | 14.1 Publicise, support and evaluate the annual programme of Mathematrix / Mirzakhani / LGBTQubed activities (providing budget where appropriate). Support organisers to access feedback to modify and develop activity. Support continuation of important networking activity in light of remote working, initially informed by Covid-19 survey. | Oct-20 | Annual | Deputy Chair EDIC / HFSHR / ERM | 14.1(i) Budget is provided for societies to support annual activities, evaluated by EDIC 14.1(ii) Feedback systems implemented and used to inform improvements |


| Objective | Rationale | Action | Timescale |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Person } \\ & \text { Responsible } \end{aligned}$ | Success Measures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Start | End |  |  |
| non-binary staff and students |  | 14.2 Refresh and support informal networks, including Senior women's lunches, 'Her Dark Mathematicals' coffee break, Postdoc and postgraduate student induction lunches. Support continuation of important networking activity in light of remote working, initially informed by Covid-19 survey <br> 14.3 Evaluate the initial year of the mentoring scheme for those undergraduate students staying on for 4th year <br> 14.4 Run an annual International Women's Day (IWD) event, building on the success of 2020, generating more interest from across the Division and expand with other HEls to run simultaneous, livestreamed events with a greater reach <br> 14.5 Celebrate AS successes and increase awareness of the scheme and benefits throughout department <br> 14.6 Produce an online, annual calendar of events specifically for women and nonbinary staff and students | Jan-21 | Annual | Deputy Chair EDIC / HFSHR / ERM | 14.2 (i) At least $75 \%$ of staff and students are satisfied with provision of societal and informal networking events |
|  |  |  | Oct-20 | Apr-21 | DUS | 14.3 (i) $75 \%$ satisfaction with undergraduate mentoring scheme (from those who used it), feedback informs enhancements |
|  |  |  | Mar-21 | Annual | Deputy Chair EDIC <br> / ERM | 14.4 (i) IWD is an annual event with $85 \%$ satisfaction from attendees 14.4 (ii) IWD reaches at least 200 attendees by 2023 14.4 (iii) IWD event joins up with other HEls |
|  |  |  | Apr-21 | Annual | HFSHR | 14.5 (i) AS successes are celebrated through internal channels, and an annual summary circulated 14.6 (i) A schedule of events |
|  |  |  | Sept-21 | Annual | HFSHR / <br> ERM | (incorporating societies and internal gender-related events) is available and accessible to women and non-binary staff and student groups |
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